

progress?

Brattin, Bill to: benson.bob, Berry.David

09/13/2010 11:40 AM

From: "Brattin, Bill" <brattin@srcinc.com>

To:

I have plotted up the predicted prevalence of disease as a function of age for 3 models:

Model 1: $z = b_0 + b_1 * CE$

Model 2: $z = b_0 + b_1 * CE + b_2 * age$

Model 4: $z = b_1 * CE + b_2 * age$

For "background", $CE = 0$.

As shown in the first sheet, one goes up, one goes down, one stays constant

Note that all 3 models cross at age = 59, which is the average age of the people in the Marysville cohort.

Next I calculated the effect of exposure at the draft RfC (0.02 f/cc-yrs) (see the 2nd sheet).

There was no observable effect for either model 2 or model 4 (i.e., exposure at the RFC did not have an observable effect over background –prevalence, while a 5% effect was expected).

Conclusion: none of the models tested to date make any sense.

Using binned data, I have looked at the pattern of the dose-response relationship, and I am quite sure a logit model will not work well.

For a logit model, z should be a linear function of dose.

In this case, z is a non-linear function of dose.

I think a log-logit model may work better (a plot of z vs $\ln(CE)$ is about linear), but some quick tries did not yield anything I thought was great.

Maybe we should test other (less familiar) models??

Bill Brattin
SRC, Inc.
999 18th Street Suite 1975
Denver CO 80202
Phone: 303-357-3121
Fax: 303-292-4755
e-mail: brattin@srcinc.com



Predicted background prevalence.xls